

## MINUTES

29 October 2025 10.00 a.m.  
Bureau du Connétable, Parish Hall

| <b>Committee Members:</b>   | <b>Present:</b> | <b>Number of meetings held during 2025:</b> | <b>Number of meetings attended during 2025:</b> |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Kevin Lewis (KL) Connétable | Present         | 12  | 12  |
| Rev. Martin Evans (ME)      | Present         | 12  | 11  |
| Stuart Pirouet (SP)         | Present         | 12  | 12  |
| Paul Houzé (PH)             | Present         | 12  | 9   |
| Michael Officer (MO)        | Present         | 12  | 9   |

**In attendance:**

Marco Vieira (MV) Executive Officer

Paula Jespersen (PJ) Admin Support Officer (Minute taker)

**1. INTRODUCTION & DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

The Chair opened the meeting, welcoming all members and expressing gratitude for their attendance.

**2. TO APPROVE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING**

The approval of the minutes from the previous meetings held on 27 August 2025 and 17 September 2025 were read and approved. Proposed by SP seconded by MO.

**3. ACTS OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING(S) AND MATTERS ARISING**

The Committee reviewed the matters arising from the previous meeting.

**3.1 Parish of St Saviour (Road Traffic) (Jersey) Order**

Amendments to the Order, including changes affecting Sunshine Avenue and Little Sunshine Avenue, were discussed. The Committee noted that the proposed amendments are currently with the Minister for Infrastructure and are awaiting implementation.

**3.2 Speeding, Parking and Traffic Management**

It was noted that the Committee had provided the Honorary Police with a list of roads where speeding concerns had been reported, together with SID data, to enable targeted monitoring and subsequent feedback to the Committee.

The Committee also discussed the current arrangement under which 50% of parking fine revenue collected by the Parish is paid to the Treasury of the States of Jersey. Members expressed the view that, given the Parish directly employs two Parking Control Officers (PCOs) to carry out these duties, it would be reasonable for all fines collected to be retained by the Parish to help offset employment and operational costs. It was further noted that the Parish bears additional demand on its PCOs, particularly around schools during peak times, as the majority of the Island's schools are located within St Saviour.

For these reasons, Members agreed that the Connétable and Deputies should consider bringing forward a Proposition to the States seeking approval for this change.

Members further noted that, following an on-site visit to Claremont Road to observe traffic congestion, it was agreed to instruct the PCOs to undertake a six-week monitoring survey in the area to gather data and assess potential mitigation measures.

**Decision** The Chef de Police to be invited to the next meeting to report on the SID data, the PCOs to provide their monitoring feedback, and the Connétable to discuss with the Deputies the possibility of lodging a Proposition before the States. Proposed by PH Seconded by MO.

### **3.3 Maison St Louis – wall collapse**

The Committee was informed that planning permission had been granted for the reinstatement of the retaining wall. While the works do not fall under the responsibility of the Parish, Members expressed the importance of the road being reopened as soon as possible and noted that an update was awaited from the Project Manager on the start and completion dates.

## **4. PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

**4.1. P/2025/10150 La Caramiethe, Langley Avenue** – Demolish boundary wall to enlarge vehicle access and parking.

**Decision:** the Committee reviewed the planning application and had no objection. Proposed by ME seconded by MO.

**4.2 P.2025/10166 The Office of the Lieutenant Governor** - Alter existing vehicular access onto La Ruelle du Sacrement and extend existing parking area to the South of site.

**Decision:** the Committee reviewed the planning application and had no objection. Proposed by PH seconded by ME.

**4.3 P/2025/0745 Field S492 Rectory Lane.** Description: Form new vehicular entrance with gates to a field from Rectory Lane.

Following review of this application at the meeting held on 27 August 2025, where the Committee did not support the proposal as outlined in its objection submitted to the Planning Department, the applicant subsequently asked the Committee to reconsider and provide clarification of its decision. **Decision:** After further review, the Committee reaffirmed its original objection. It was noted that the Planning Committee has refused the application, and that should the applicant wish the decision to be reconsidered, this would need to be pursued through the formal Planning appeal process. The Committee also noted its preference for Rectory Lane to remain a country lane. Proposed by MO, seconded by SP.

## **5. NEW BUSINESS**

### **5.1 Fountain Lane – pedestrian safety**

Requests were received from two residents of Fountain Lane raising concerns about road safety, pedestrian access and traffic flow along the upper section of the lane. Both highlighted the lack of safe pedestrian space, particularly for schoolchildren, and the congestion caused by two-way traffic.

The Committee reviewed these concerns, noting that Members had previously attended the site during morning peak hours and observed no significant traffic issues. It was also confirmed that marked parking bays with a three-hour limit had already been approved for the lower end of the lane and would be installed following the resurfacing of Belvedere Hill.

Following public consultation and discussions with officers from the Department for Infrastructure (DFI) the Committee considered the proposed trial closure of Fountain Lane and agreed not to proceed with the scheme at the present time. Members noted that closure could

displace traffic onto neighbouring roads, restrict access to agricultural land, and would be difficult to enforce. **Decision:** the committee will continue to work with DfI and local landowners to explore alternative measures to improve traffic flow, pedestrian safety and connectivity in the area.

## **5.2 La Rue de Patier – traffic calming and pedestrian safety**

The Traffic and Road Safety Engineer commissioned by the Parish attended the meeting to present design options for road safety improvements associated with the proposed Parish Park scheme. The Committee considered measures to enhance pedestrian safety along La Rue de Patier, including the introduction of a virtual footway to provide safer access to the park and surrounding areas. A vehicle speed survey undertaken recorded speeds in excess of 50 mph, reinforcing the need for intervention. The Engineer was therefore asked to review options such as a virtual footway, bollards, and traffic-calming features.

Following discussion, the Committee agreed to adopt an incremental approach with minimal intervention, initially implementing a virtual footway with marked lines and monitoring its effectiveness. Additional measures, such as a flat-top speed hump (no higher than 75 mm), may be considered if required. The key priority is to improve safety for both pedestrians and road users. **Decision:** The Committee supported the proposed approach and approved the scheme to proceed to the next stage of design development. Proposed by MO and seconded by SP.

## **5.3 Bagatelle Road Safer Routes to Schools**

Officers from DfI attended the meeting to discuss and provide an overview of their proposed plans for the area.

## **5.4 Le Jardin à Pommiers – Parking Concerns**

A request was received from a resident seeking permission to place cones outside their property to discourage school traffic, non-resident parking and the long-term abandonment of vehicles. The resident expressed concern that if additional restrictions, such as prohibition lines, were introduced, this could inconvenience visitors, friends, family, and medical staff attending properties in the area. The Committee discussed the options available and noted that the resident did not wish for prohibition lines to be installed. The Roads Committee emphasised that the use of traffic management equipment by members of the public is discouraged. **Decision:** It was agreed that cones should not be placed outside the property. Parking Control Officers will continue to monitor the area, and any parking infractions will be issued with a notice of infraction.

## **6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

## **7. NEXT MEETING & CLOSING OF MEETING**

It was agreed to hold the next meeting in January and a date will be circulated to Members.

The meeting closed at 1.45 p.m.



.....  
**Kevin C Lewis (KL) Connétable**

Signed by the Connétable as

President of the Roads Committee